REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 17-52 are pending in this Application. The Office Action mailed on June 2, 2005, includes the following rejections:

1. Claims 17-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112 first paragraph.

Applicant respectfully addresses the basis for each of the Examiner's rejections below.

Claim Rejections - Claims 17-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 first paragraph as failing to comply with the enablement requirement.

The Action rejects claims 17-52 under 35 U.S.C. § 112 first paragraph, which states:

The claims contain subject matter which was not described in the Specification in such a way as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Applicant respectfully submits that the specification is enabled to support claims 17-52 and fully complies with 35 U.S.C. § 112 first paragraph. For example, the specification provides detailed examples using a seven carbon fatty acid and states that substituted, unsaturated or branched heptanoates can be used in addition to other modified seven-carbon fatty acids ([0070]). The present specification also provids considerable direction and guidance on how to practice the claimed invention and presents working examples and all of the methods needed to practice the invention were either well known in the art or disclosed in the specification (e.g., See, *In re Wands*, 858 F.2d 731, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (Fed. Cir. 1988)).

Furthermore, the study of fatty acids has been around for decades; and as such, much is known in the art regarding there properties, reactions and modification. Therefore, it is not necessary for the specification to provide every modification and configuration, Sec. e.g., *In re Buchner*, 929 F.2d 660, 661, 18 USPQ2d 1331, 1332 (Fed. Cir. 1991) (Furthermore, not everything necessary to practice the invention need be disclosed. In fact, what is well-known is best omitted). All that is necessary is that one skilled in the art be able to practice the claimed invention, given the level of knowledge and skill in the art. Further, the scope of enablement

must only bear a "reasonable correlation" to the scope of the claims. See, e.g., In re Fisher, 427 F.2d 833, 839, 166 USPQ 18, 24 (CCPA 1970).

The Applicant asserts that the specification as disclosed is enabled to support claims 17-52 and complies with 35 U.S.C. § 112 first paragraph and does not require undue experimentation. Accordingly, even though the statute does not use the term "undue experimentation," it has been interpreted to require that the claimed invention be enabled so that any person skilled in the art can make and use the invention without undue experimentation. In re Wands, 858 F.2d at 737, 8 USPQ2d at 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1988).

Applicant asserts that when the factors to determine undue experimentation set forth in *In* re Wands are addressed, the claimed invention is enabled so that any person minimally skilled in the art can make and use the invention without undue experimentation. Applicant addresses the relevant *In* re Wands factors in turn.

With regards to the nature of the invention, state of the prior art, relative skill of those in the art and predictability of the art. As mentioned above, the study of fatty acids has been around for decades; and as such, much is known in the art regarding there properties, reactions and modification. For example, certain synthetic odd-carbon number triglycerides have been tested for use in food products as potential fatty acid sources and in the manufacture of food products. The oxidation rates of odd-chain fatty acids from C₇ and C₉ triglycerides have been examined in vitro in isolated piglet hepatocytes. (Odle, ct al. 1991. "Utilization of medium-chain triglycerides by neonatal piglets: chain length of even- and odd-carbon fatty acids and apparent digestion/absorption and hepatic metabolism," J Nutr 121:605-614; Lin, X, et al. 1996. (see [0009]). Therefore, given the nature of the invention, the extensive material contained in the prior art, those of skill in the art are not necessarily PhDs, MS and MDs but also pharamacists and compounders of pharmaceuticals provide a wide range of level of skill in the art and the predictability of decades of the fatty acid chemistry and fatty acid metabolism, these factors clearly indicate any person skilled in the art can make and use the invention without unduc experimentation given the considerable direction and guidance supplied by the present specification.

With reference to the breadth of the claims, the claims are not overly broad as the specification teaches the use of a select group of fatty acids (e.g., to seven carbon fatty acids) as opposed to all fatty acids or different groups containing fatty acids of vastly different numbers of carbons. For example, the seven carbon fatty acids are a group of fatty acids with similar chemical properties as a group, e.g., found mainly in milk fats, have similar physical properties and interactions and so forth. Although, the fatty acid may be modified, such modifications are well known to persons of ordinary skill in the art. Additionally, the specification provides examples of disorders that may be treated with the present invention. Upon reading the specification, the skilled artisan will know of other disorders that may be treated. Furthermore, it is not necessary for the specification to provide every modification, configuration or disorder, Sec, e.g., In re Buchner, 929 F.2d 660, 661, 18 USPQ2d 1331, 1332 (Fed. Cir. 1991). The specification and the knowledge of the skilled artisan clearly enables the full scope of the claimed invention without undue experimentation.

With regards to the amount of direction or guidance provided and the presence or absence of working examples. The specification provides examples in the form of well-known characteristics and generally accepted *in vitro* systems, e.g., cell culture examples using human cells and an *in vivo* example of treating a living infant (see e.g., [0089]). In addition to the examples in the specification, claim 26 provides one embodiment of a dosage amount and claims 43-46 provide embodiments for different delivery methods. Therefore, all of the methods needed to practice the invention were either well known in the art or disclosed in the specification.

It is taught by the present inventor that the major sources of fuel for the heart is fatty acids and because it has the property of being gluconeogenic, triheptanoin can be used in direct fueling of heart tissue in adults recuperating from cardiac or other high-risk surgery. (See Figure 2 and [0071]). Given this fact and the knowledge of the skilled artisan, it is not necessary to provide multiple examples of directly fueling the heart, as it is inherent. Furthermore, the limited number of available living pacients with such a condition limits the availability of primary culture cells.

The specification <u>does</u> provides guidance as to compounds may be used in the present invention. See, *In re Herschler*, 591 F.2d 693, 697, 200 USPQ 711, 714 (CCPA 1979) (disclosure of corticosteroid in DMSO sufficient to support claims drawn to a method of using a mixture of a "physiologically active steroid" and DMSO because "use of known chemical compounds in a manner auxiliary to the invention must have a corresponding written description only so specific as to lead one having ordinary skill in the art to that class of compounds. Occasionally, a functional recitation of those known compounds in the specification may be sufficient as that description."). As is the case here, the functional recitation of those known compounds in the specification are sufficient to enable a person skilled in the art can make and use the invention without undue experimentation given the considerable direction and guidance supplied by the present specification..

With regard to the quantity of experimentation necessary, the present invention does not require difficult or time-consuming assays and all necessary information is present in the specifications or known to a person of skill in the art, for example, the skilled artisan. The specifications provide direction and guidance including examples and results. As mentioned prior, the state of the art is high as fatty acids has been studied for many decades and therefore the predictability of the art with regards to modifications and fatty acid characteristics is also quite high. Currently, those of skill in the art are PhDs, MS, MDs, pharmacists and compounders create a wide level of skill of those in the art. When these factors are taken as a whole it is clear that undue experimentation is not necessary; and thus, the specification is enabled to support claims 17-52.

As such, the specification satisfies the written description requirement under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph. For the reasons mentioned above, the Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner withdraw the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112.

Conclusion

In light of the remarks and arguments presented above, Applicant respectfully submits that the claims in the Application are in condition for allowance. Favorable consideration and allowance of the pending Claims 17-52 are therefore respectfully requested.

If the Examiner has any questions or comments, or if further clarification is required, it is requested that the Examiner contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

Dated: September 2, 2005.

Respectfully submitted,

Chainey P. Singleton Reg. No. 53,598

ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT

Chalker Flores, LLP 12700 Park Central, Ste. 455 Dallas, TX 75251 214.866.0001 Telephone 214.866.0010 Facsimile

ESF/cps